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- Mining cost places lower limit on transaction fees
- Help cut Gordian knot for miners
- Fun project...
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## Sabbatical

- 6 months off
- 1 month vacation
- 1/day week Marcus,


# Pettycoin Characteristics http://pettycoin.org 

- Functionaries gateway $\leftrightarrow$ Bitcoin network
- Limited to small amounts
- Simpler transactions
- Horizon
- Partial Knowledge
- Payback
- Fast block times
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## Aside: A Weird F/OSS Project

2,304,695 Announcements (Altcoins)


- Hard to reach/find people genuinely interested in innovative ideas.


## Meanwhile...

## Sidechains

- http://blockstream.com/sidechains.pdf
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#### Abstract

Since the introduction of Bitcoin Nak09] in 2009, and the multiple computer science and electronic cash innovations it brought, there has been great interest in the potential of decentralised cryptocurrencies. At the same time, implementation changes to the consensuscritical parts of Bitcoin must necessarily be handled very conservatively. As a result, Bitcoin has greater difficulty than other Internet protocols in adapting to new demands and accommodating new innovation.
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- Bitcoin Basics
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## Cryptographic Hash Functions

- Cryptographic hash functions
- Hash takes some data, produces number
- No two things hash to the same value
- "Hi Rusty!" => 113,874,859,391,549,611,678,918,264,699,517,411,490,566,824,306,315,592, 823,661,988,754,055,674,729,523
- "hi Rusty!" => 50,389,223,465,001,933,639,819,032,401,253,318,319,916,409,888,064,665, 201,997,103,129,362,843,385,322


## Cryptographic Hash Functions

- Cryptographic hash functions
- Hash takes some data, produces number
- No two things hash to the same value
- No way to guess what data was except trying everything


## Caveats \& Notes I

- I used SHA256. Bitcoin uses double-SHA256.
- I know "no two things hash to the same value" is impossible.
- And I know there exists no mathematical proof that it's even hard.
- There may be an efficient way to produce duplicate hashes or calculate the reverse hash.
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$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Tx-0 } & \text { Tx-1 } & \text { Tx-2 } & \text { Tx-3 }
\end{array}
$$
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## Bitcoin Transactions

- Every bitcoin transaction has inputs (TxIn) and outputs (TxOut)
- Value of inputs >= value of outputs.
- Each output can only be spent once.
- First tx has 1 fake input, generates coins
- Outputs have amount and a script
- "30 bitcoins. For a transaction signed by Alice"
- Inputs have a tx hash, output number, and script
- "Spend output N of TX X, and I, Alice, endorse this transaction"


## Bitcoin Transactions

- eg. Block 300,000:


## Bitcoin Transactions

- eg. Block 300,000:
(Hash: 829,998,915,579,594,092,199,999,189, $296,919,999,871,189,997,254$ => 48 digits)


## Bitcoin Transactions

- eg. Block 300,000:


## Bitcoin Transactions

- eg. Block 300,000:

TX 0:
9,399,969,399,996,839,989,456,721,927,078, 696,279,992,467,008,883,159,918,770,249,983

## Bitcoin Transactions

- eg. Block 300,000:

TX 0:
9,399,969,399,996,839,989,456,721,927,078, 696,279,992,467,008,883,159,918,770,249,983

Output \#0 Amount 25.0402836 BTC

## Bitcoin Transactions

- eg. Block 300,000:

TX 0:
9,399,969,399,996,839,989,456,721,927,078, 696,279,992,467,008,883,159,918,770,249,983

Output \#0 Amount 25.0402836 BTC Script: OP_DUP OP_HASH160 8,099,909,403, 581,993,994,608,699,192,999,412,599,691 OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG

## Bitcoin Background

- Was redeemed in block 300,588 in TX 1577232...


## Bitcoin Background

- Was redeemed in block 300,588 in TX 1577232...

TxIn \#37:
Tx 9,399,969,399,996,839,989,456,721,927,078, 696,279,992,467,008,883,159,918,770,249,983 TxOut \#0

## Bitcoin Background

- Input script:

OP_PUSH<71>
3044022001005794df903dbb984f3106587a1aa848 c5067dc424f45870da9574225e85d2022017b1db57 66d1878b5076374ded3a782c9ba4b555bf8311524b 896f57aea8140201

OP_PUSH<33>
02b8c918bd169a5e669cc149549f822dd5f2c50872 eb83172a1c69172277fe378f

## Bitcoin Background

- Input script: OP PUSH<71>
<SIGNATURE>

OP PUSH<33> <PUBLIC KEY>
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- Input script: OP_PUSH<71>
<SIGNATURE>

OP_PUSH<33> <PŪBLIC KEY>
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Signature

## Bitcoin Background

## Public Key

Signature
DETOUR

## Bitcoin Background

OP DUP
OP_HASH160
8,09̄9,909,403,581,993,994,608,699,192,999,
412,599,691
OP_EQUALVERIFY
OP_CHECKSIG

## Public Key

## Bitcoin Background

Public Key
Public Key

## Signature

OP_DUP
OP_HASH160
8,099,909,403,581,993,994,608,699,192,999,
412,599,691
OP_EQUALVERIFY
OP_CHECKSIG

## Bitcoin Background



## Signature

## Bitcoin Background

8,099,909...


## Signature

## Bitcoin Background

OP_DUP
OP_HASH160
8,0 $099,909,403,581,993,994,608,699,192,999$,
-412,599,691
Public Key
OP EQUALVERIFY
OP_CHECKSIG

## Signature

DETOUR

## Bitcoin Background

OP_DUP<br>OP-HASH160<br>8,099,909,403,581,993,994,608,699,192,999,<br>-412,599,691<br>OP_EQUALVERIFY<br>OP_CHECKSIG



## Bitcoin Background

OP_DUP<br>OP HASH160<br>8,099,909,403,581,993,994,608,699,192,999,<br>-412,599,691<br>OP_EQUALVERIFY<br>OP_CHECKSIG



## Caveats \& Notes II

- Numbers being pushed on the stack are usually just printed; I made up OP_PUSH<> here to be explicit
- Input script is often called scriptSig
- Output script is often called scriptPubkey
- The "signature" actually has a byte appended which indicates what parts of the transaction it signed.
- The RIPEMD160 of a ECDSA secp256k1 public key is usually encoded for printing using bitcoin's base58 encoding method, and called a "bitcoin address"


## Sidechains

## Sidechains

- Alternative chains which use real bitcoins
- But may have different/experimental protocol rules
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## Sidechains

- Alternative chains which use real bitcoins
- But may have different/experimental protocol rules
- Special bitcoin transactions send to the sidechain.
- Special sidechain transactions return bitcoins to bitcoin.
- Prove to the bitcoin network that the return happened in the sidechain, and bitcoin will let you spend those bitcoins again.


## To Sidechain

- A bitcoin transaction output script would "send" bitcoins to the sidechain:
- <hash-of-sidechain-block> OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY
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## On the Sidechain...

- Hey, a new OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY bitcoin output for us!
... some time later...
- That can now be spent like any other unspent transaction output.
... coins move around sidechain...
- A special unspendable output script returns the funds to the bitcoin network.


## Caveats \& Notes III

- In practice, would use proofs for bitcoin $\rightarrow$ sidechain (as we'll see for the other way)
- There's no BIP yet describing this, but the unspendable output could be as simple as OP_RETURN.
- My guess is:
- <bitcoin-genesis> OP_RETURN
<extra-script-to-be-evaluated-on-bitcoin-side>


## On The Sidechain



## ... Back To Bitcoin



- To spent the bitcoin OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY output
- Prove the return-to-bitcoin tx is in the sidechain


## ... Back To Bitcoin



- Prove the tx is in block N
- Prove block N is in sidechain.


## Prove TX in Block
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## Prove Block in Sidechain

## Prove Block in Sidechain

- Provide every block back to genesis?
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- Since every block has to hash below some target value...
- $1 / 2$ the blocks will be $1 / 2$ the target or less.
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- $1 / 100$ will be $1 / 100$ of the target...


## Compact SPV Proofs

- Since every block has to hash below some target value...
- $1 / 2$ the blocks will be $1 / 2$ the target or less.
- $1 / 3$ the blocks will be $1 / 3$ the target or less.
- $1 / 100$ will be $1 / 100$ of the target...
- You may skip back N if your hash is <= target/N.
=> $\log (N)$ steps to get back to genesis.
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## Compact SPV Proofs

- How do we put all the previous block hashes in the block header?
- Merkle Tree!
- For 1 M blocks, $\sim 60$ block headers and $\sim 550$ merkle proof hashes


## Caveats \& Notes IV

- Number of hashes is very sensitive to topology of merkle tree. See rustyjunk on github (WIP)
- Your path from $\mathrm{N}+$ <number> to genesis must include N, so it won't be quite this good.
- Target changes, so you need to include the actual distance in difficulty steps in your tree.
- CSPV proofs do not ratchet like normal blockchain: a $10 \%$ attacker has $10 \%$ chance of producing a valid-looking winner.


## ...Back To Bitcoin
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## ...Back To Bitcoin

- We need to wait for some contest period to allow "reorganization proofs".


Return-to-bitcoin tx output

## Caveats \& Notes V

- Reorganization proofs will presumably "invalidate" by consuming transaction outputs and producing a new OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY output.
- Gregory Maxwell suggests that transactions which simply consume OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY outputs to combine them into a single OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY output could be done without proofs, to make return txs smaller.


## OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY

## OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY

- Adding a new script opcode to bitcoin forks the protocol.


## OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY

- Adding a new script opcode to bitcoin forks the protocol.
- Old clients must still see the transaction as valid.
- eg. rename OP_NOP3.
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- Expensive:
- Block headers of merge-mined sidechains are about 500 bytes.
- Hashes are 32 bytes.
- => Block $1 \mathrm{M}==60 * 500+550 * 32==48 \mathrm{k}$.


## OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY

- Expensive:
- Block headers of merge-mined sidechains are about 500 bytes.
- Hashes are 32 bytes.
- => Block $1 \mathrm{M}==60 * 500+550 * 32==48 \mathrm{k}$.
- Slow:
- Maybe 1 day confirmation requirement, 1 day contest period.
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- Alice has 1 pettycoin. Bob has 1 bitcoin.
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## Atomic Swaps

- Alice: "To redeem this 1 pettycoin you need to present the value that hashes to $X$, and Bob's signature" OR "Alice can have it after 48 hours"
- Bob: "To redeem this 1 bitcoin to need to present the value that hashes to $X$, and Alice's signature" OR "Bob can have it after 24 hours"
- Alice uses the 1 bitcoin output, revealing the value that hashes to X .
- Bob can now use the 1 pettycoin.


## Caveats \& Notes VI

- Requires transaction malleability to be resolved (BIP 62) or OP_CHECKTIMELOCKVERIFY (BIP 65) (better!)
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## Sidechains Technology

- Merkle trees ${ }^{[1]}$
- Merge mining ${ }^{[2]}$
- Sophisticated scripting language ${ }^{[3]}$
- Soft fork ${ }^{[4]}$
- Compact SPV proof ${ }^{[5]}$
- Atomic swaps ${ }^{[6]}$
[1] 2008: S Nakamoto Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System
[2] 2009?
[3] 2014: BIP 65 https://github.com/bitcoin/bips/blob/master/bip-0065.mediawiki
[4] 2012: BIP 16, BIP 30, BIP34
[5] 2012: The High Value Hash Highway https://bitcointalk.org/index.php?topic=98986.0 [https://bitcointalk.org/index.php?topic=193281.msg2224949
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## Exposure To Other Ideas

- Funding protocol bootstrap
- Proving Flaws using Partial Knowledge
- Calculating Fees with Partial Knowledge
- Proving Non-existent TX Inputs
- Proving Double Spends
- Proving All Block Information Is Available

See https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/User:Gmaxwell/features\#Proofs And http://rustyrussell.github.io/pettycoin/ Pettycoin Revisited parts 1-7.

## Partial Knowledge

- Is the miner collecting fair rewards?


## Partial Knowledge

- Is the miner collecting fair rewards?
- Pettycoin uses a lottery, "random" transaction chosen and multiplied.
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## Non-existent Inputs

- Block N contains TX1 which spend output from TX <made-up-hash>?


## Non-existent Inputs

- Block N contains TX1 which spend output from TX <made-up-hash>?
- Pettycoin miners attach backrefs which say where in chain you can find the input transactions:



## Non-existent Inputs

- Block N contains TX1 which spend output from TX <made-up-hash>?
- UTXO commitments.


## UTXO Commitments
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## UTXO Commitments

- Include every Unspent Transaction Output in the header.
- For each input, attach proof that it was in UTXO tree.
- For each output, attach proof showing where it goes in (updated) UTXO tree.


## UTXO Commitments

- Include every Unspent Transaction Output in the header.



## Caveats \& Notes VII

- A patricia trie is usually suggested for this structure.
- If it's keyed by Txid then output, it's fairly trivial to group output insertion into a single proof.


## Proving Double Spends

## Proving Double Spends

- Pettycoin relied on someone reporting (with proof) that a TX output was used before.
- UTXO commitments make this impossible anyway.


## Fast Block Times

## Fast Block Times

- 10 second blocks.


## Fast Block Times

- 10 second blocks.
- $1 \%$ of blocks take over 46 seconds.
- Accept "easy" block after 20 seconds passed, with a modified heuristic to determine which easy block wins. ${ }^{[1]}$
[1] http://rustyrussell.github.io/pettycoin/2014/10/30/More-Regular-Block-Times.html


## Caveats and Notes VIII

- Convergence difficult unless propagation time >> block time.
- GHOST helps here ${ }^{[1]}$
- 10 seconds is probably close to lower bound.
- Bitcoin's testnet does this horribly using timestamps: don't copy!
[1] Accelerating Bitcoin's Transaction Processing Y Sompolinsky, A Zohar
https://eprint.iacr.org/2013/881.pdf
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## What Does This Mean for Pettycoin?

- Need to be more bitcoin-like.
=> Just use the bitcoin reference code.
(But there may be many sidechains to copy)
- We now have a name for what we built.
- Pettychain?
- Fastchain should be a separate sidechain experiment.
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## Questions?

