Pettycoin: Towards 1.0?

Rusty Russell rusty@rustcorp.com.au

Contents

- Pettycoin Background
- Massive Detour
 - Contains Caveats and Notes!
- Pettycoin v2?

Pettycoin

- Mining cost places lower limit on transaction fees
 - Help cut Gordian knot for bitcoin miners

Pettycoin

- Mining cost places lower limit on transaction fees
 - Help cut Gordian knot for bitcoin miners
- Fun project...

Pettycoin

- Mining cost places lower limit on transaction fees
 - Help cut Gordian knot for miners
- Fun project...

• 6 months off

- 6 months off
 - 1 month vacation

- 6 months off
 - 1 month vacation
 - 1/day week Marcus

Pettycoin Characteristics http://pettycoin.org

- Functionaries gateway ↔ Bitcoin network
- Limited to small amounts
- Simpler transactions
- Horizon
- Partial Knowledge
- Payback
- Fast block times

• Altcoins

Altcoins



NOISE

2,289,384 Announcements (Altcoins)



2,304,695 Announcements (Altcoins)



• Hard to reach/find people genuinely interested in innovative ideas.

Meanwhile...

Sidechains

http://blockstream.com/sidechains.pdf

Enabling Blockchain Innovations with Pegged Sidechains

Adam Back, Matt Corallo, Luke Dashjr, Mark Friedenbach, Gregory Maxwell, Andrew Miller, Andrew Poelstra, Jorge Timón, and Pieter Wuille*[†]

2014-10-22 (commit 5620e43)

Abstract

Since the introduction of Bitcoin Nak09 in 2009, and the multiple computer science and electronic cash innovations it brought, there has been great interest in the potential of decentralised cryptocurrencies. At the same time, implementation changes to the consensuscritical parts of Bitcoin must necessarily be handled very conservatively. As a result, Bitcoin has greater difficulty than other Internet protocols in adapting to new demands and accommodating new innovation.

What I Should Have Done...

What I Should Have Done...



What I Should Have Done...

- Bitcoin Basics
- How Sidechains Work
- Other Partial Knowledge Ideas



Bitcoin Basics

- Cryptographic hash functions
- Bitcoin blocks
- Bitcoin transactions



Cryptographic Hash Functions

- Cryptographic hash functions
 - Hash takes some data, produces number

 "Hi Rusty!" => 113,874,859,391,549,611,678,918,264,699,517,411,490,566,824,306,315,592, 823,661,988,754,055,674,729,523 <= 78 digits



Cryptographic Hash Functions

- Cryptographic hash functions
 - Hash takes some data, produces number
 - No two things hash to the same value

- "Hi Rusty!" => 113,874,859,391,549,611,678,918,264,699,517,411,490,566,824,306,315,592, 823,661,988,754,055,674,729,523
- "hi Rusty!" => 50,389,223,465,001,933,639,819,032,401,253,318,319,916,409,888,064,665, 201,997,103,129,362,843,385,322



Cryptographic Hash Functions

- Cryptographic hash functions
 - Hash takes some data, produces number
 - No two things hash to the same value
 - No way to guess what data was except trying everything



Caveats & Notes I

- I used SHA256. Bitcoin uses double-SHA256.
- I know "no two things hash to the same value" is impossible.
- And I know there exists no mathematical proof that it's even hard.
 - There may be an efficient way to produce duplicate hashes or calculate the reverse hash.



Bitcoin Basics

- Cryptographic hash functions $\sqrt{}$
- Bitcoin blocks
- Bitcoin transactions









• Bitcoin transactions are gathered into blocks





- Bitcoin transactions are gathered into blocks
- Each block refers to the last one, forming a chain.





- Bitcoin transactions are gathered into blocks
- Each block refers to the last one, forming a chain.
- Blocks are really hard to generate.





Bitcoin Basics

- Cryptographic hash functions $\sqrt{}$
- Bitcoin blocks $\sqrt{}$
- Bitcoin transactions



• Transactions form a tree, with root in the block header:

txs				
-----	--	--	--	--



• Transactions form a tree, with root in the block header:

t	IXS			
---	-----	--	--	--



• Transactions form a tree, with root in the block header:

t	XS		
---	----	--	--



H(Tx-0) H(Tx-1) H(Tx-2) H(Tx-3)

 Transactions form a tree, with root in the block header:

tx	S		
----	---	--	--


• Transactions form a tree, with root in the block header:

txs			
-----	--	--	--



• Transactions form a tree, with root in the block header:





Merkel Tree





http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Angela_Merkel_August_2014.jpg http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User:CDU_Sachsen_CC_BY-SA 4.0

Merkle Tree





http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Ralph_Merkle.png david.orban - http://www.flickr.com/photos/davidorban/1347574959/ CC BY 2.0



Every bitcoin transaction has inputs (TxIn) and outputs (TxOut)



- Every bitcoin transaction has inputs (TxIn) and outputs (TxOut)
 - Value of inputs >= value of outputs.
 - Each output can only be spent once.



- Every bitcoin transaction has inputs (TxIn) and outputs (TxOut)
 - Value of inputs >= value of outputs.
 - Each output can only be spent once.
 - First tx has 1 fake input, generates coins



- Every bitcoin transaction has inputs (TxIn) and outputs (TxOut)
 - Value of inputs >= value of outputs.
 - Each output can only be spent once.
 - First tx has 1 fake input, generates coins
- Outputs have amount and a script
 - "30 bitcoins. For a transaction signed by Alice"



- Every bitcoin transaction has inputs (TxIn) and outputs (TxOut)
 - Value of inputs >= value of outputs.
 - Each output can only be spent once.
 - First tx has 1 fake input, generates coins
- Outputs have amount and a script
 - "30 bitcoins. For a transaction signed by Alice"
- Inputs have a tx hash, output number, and script

 "Spend output N of TX X, and I, Alice, endorse this transaction"

• eg. Block 300,000:



eg. Block 300,000: (Hash: 829,998,915,579,594,092,199,999,189, 296,919,999,871,189,997,254 => 48 digits)



• eg. Block 300,000:



• eg. Block 300,000:

TX 0: 9,399,969,399,996,839,989,456,721,927,078, 696,279,992,467,008,883,159,918,770,249,983



• eg. Block 300,000:

TX 0: 9,399,969,399,996,839,989,456,721,927,078, 696,279,992,467,008,883,159,918,770,249,983

Output #0 Amount 25.0402836 BTC



DETOUR

Script: OP_DUP OP_HASH160 8,099,909,403, 581,993,994,608,699,192,999,412,599,691 OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG

Output #0 Amount 25.0402836 BTC

TX 0: 9,399,969,399,996,839,989,456,721,927,078, 696,279,992,467,008,883,159,918,770,249,983

• eg. Block 300,000:

 Was redeemed in block 300,588 in TX 1577232...



- Was redeemed in block 300,588 in TX 1577232...
 - TxIn #37:

Tx 9,399,969,399,996,839,989,456,721,927,078, 696,279,992,467,008,883,159,918,770,249,983 TxOut #0



• Input script:

OP_PUSH<71> 3044022001005794df903dbb984f3106587a1aa848 c5067dc424f45870da9574225e85d2022017b1db57 66d1878b5076374ded3a782c9ba4b555bf8311524b 896f57aea8140201

OP_PUSH<33> 02b8c918bd169a5e669cc149549f822dd5f2c50872 eb83172a1c69172277fe378f



• Input script:

OP_PUSH<71><SIGNATURE>

OP_PUSH<33> <PUBLIC KEY>



• Input script:

OP_PUSH<71><SIGNATURE>

Signature

OP_PUSH<33> <PUBLIC KEY>



• Input script:

OP_PUSH<71><SIGNATURE>

Signature

Public Key

OP_PUSH<33> <PUBLIC KEY>





Signature













OP_DUP OP_HASH160 8,099,909,403,581,993,994,608,699,192,999, -412,599,691 OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG



Caveats & Notes II

- Numbers being pushed on the stack are usually just printed; I made up OP_PUSH<> here to be explicit
- Input script is often called scriptSig
- Output script is often called scriptPubkey
- The "signature" actually has a byte appended which indicates what parts of the transaction it signed.
- The RIPEMD160 of a ECDSA secp256k1 public key is usually encoded for printing using bitcoin's base58 encoding method, and called a "bitcoin address"



Sidechains



Sidechains

- Alternative chains which use real bitcoins
 - But may have different/experimental protocol rules



Sidechains: More Wasted Work?



Sidechains: More Wasted Work?





Sidechains: More Wasted Work?

Bitcoin miners can mine other chains at the same time






































































- Alternative chains which use real bitcoins
 - But may have different/experimental protocol rules



- Alternative chains which use real bitcoins
 - But may have different/experimental protocol rules
- Special bitcoin transactions send to the sidechain.



- Alternative chains which use real bitcoins
 - But may have different/experimental protocol rules
- Special bitcoin transactions send to the sidechain.
- Special sidechain transactions return bitcoins to bitcoin.



- Alternative chains which use real bitcoins
 - But may have different/experimental protocol rules
- Special bitcoin transactions send to the sidechain.
- Special sidechain transactions return bitcoins to bitcoin.
- Prove to the bitcoin network that the return happened in the sidechain, and bitcoin will let you spend those bitcoins again.



To Sidechain

- A bitcoin transaction output script would "send" bitcoins to the sidechain:
 - <hash-of-sidechain-block>
 OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY



• Hey, a new OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY bitcoin output for us!



• Hey, a new OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY bitcoin output for us!

... some time later...



• Hey, a new OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY bitcoin output for us!

... some time later...

• That can now be spent like any other unspent transaction output.



• Hey, a new OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY bitcoin output for us!

... some time later...

• That can now be spent like any other unspent transaction output.

... coins move around sidechain...

• A special unspendable output script returns the funds to the bitcoin network.



Caveats & Notes III

- In practice, would use proofs for bitcoin → sidechain (as we'll see for the other way)
- There's no BIP yet describing this, but the unspendable output could be as simple as OP_RETURN.
- My guess is:
 - <bitcoin-genesis>
 OP_RETURN
 <extra-script-to-be-evaluated-on-bitcoin-side>



On The Sidechain





... Back To Bitcoin



- To spent the bitcoin OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY output
 - Prove the return-to-bitcoin tx is in the sidechain



... Back To Bitcoin



- Prove the tx is in block N
- Prove block N is in sidechain.



Prove TX in Block

txs			
-----	--	--	--



Tx-0

Prove TX in Block





Prove Block in Sidechain



Prove Block in Sidechain

• Provide every block back to genesis?





 Since every block has to hash below some target value...



- Since every block has to hash below some target value...
 - $\frac{1}{2}$ the blocks will be $\frac{1}{2}$ the target or less.
 - $\frac{1}{3}$ the blocks will be $\frac{1}{3}$ the target or less.
 - 1/100 will be 1/100 of the target...



- Since every block has to hash below some target value...
 - $\frac{1}{2}$ the blocks will be $\frac{1}{2}$ the target or less.
 - $\frac{1}{3}$ the blocks will be $\frac{1}{3}$ the target or less.
 - 1/100 will be 1/100 of the target...
- You may skip back N if your hash is <= target/N.
 - => log(N) steps to get back to genesis.



 How do we put all the previous block hashes in the block header?


Compact SPV Proofs

 How do we put all the previous block hashes in the block header?





Compact SPV Proofs

- How do we put all the previous block hashes in the block header?
 - Merkle Tree!
- For 1M blocks, ~60 block headers and ~550 merkle proof hashes



Caveats & Notes IV

- Number of hashes is very sensitive to topology of merkle tree. See rustyjunk on github (WIP)
- Your path from N+<number> to genesis must include N, so it won't be quite this good.
- Target changes, so you need to include the actual distance in difficulty steps in your tree.
- CSPV proofs do not ratchet like normal blockchain: a 10% attacker has 10% chance of producing a valid-looking winner.



...Back To Bitcoin



...Back To Bitcoin



...Back To Bitcoin

• We need to wait for some contest period to allow "reorganization proofs".



Caveats & Notes V

- Reorganization proofs will presumably "invalidate" by consuming transaction outputs and producing a new OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY output.
- Gregory Maxwell suggests that transactions which simply consume OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY outputs to combine them into a single OP_SIDECHAINPROOFVERIFY output could be done without proofs, to make return txs smaller.





• Adding a new script opcode to bitcoin forks the protocol.



- Adding a new script opcode to bitcoin forks the protocol.
 - Old clients must still see the transaction as valid.
 - eg. rename OP_NOP3.







http://www.vitacost.com/momma-toddler-soft-fork-orange-1-piece

• Expensive



- Expensive:
 - Block headers of merge-mined sidechains are about 500 bytes.
 - Hashes are 32 bytes.
 - => Block 1M == 60*500 + 550*32 == 48k.



- Expensive:
 - Block headers of merge-mined sidechains are about 500 bytes.
 - Hashes are 32 bytes.
 - => Block 1M == 60*500 + 550*32 == 48k.
- Slow:
 - Maybe 1 day confirmation requirement, 1 day contest period.





• Alice has 1 pettycoin. Bob has 1 bitcoin.



• Alice: "To redeem this 1 pettycoin you need to present the value that hashes to X, and Bob's signature"



• Alice: "To redeem this 1 pettycoin you need to present the value that hashes to X, and Bob's signature" OR "Alice can have it after 48 hours"



- Alice: "To redeem this 1 pettycoin you need to present the value that hashes to X, and Bob's signature" OR "Alice can have it after 48 hours"
- Bob: "To redeem this 1 bitcoin to need to present the value that hashes to X, and Alice's signature" OR "Bob can have it after 24 hours"



- Alice: "To redeem this 1 pettycoin you need to present the value that hashes to X, and Bob's signature" OR "Alice can have it after 48 hours"
- Bob: "To redeem this 1 bitcoin to need to present the value that hashes to X, and Alice's signature" OR "Bob can have it after 24 hours"
- Alice uses the 1 bitcoin output, revealing the value that hashes to X.



- Alice: "To redeem this 1 pettycoin you need to present the value that hashes to X, and Bob's signature" OR "Alice can have it after 48 hours"
- Bob: "To redeem this 1 bitcoin to need to present the value that hashes to X, and Alice's signature" OR "Bob can have it after 24 hours"
- Alice uses the 1 bitcoin output, revealing the value that hashes to X.
- Bob can now use the 1 pettycoin.



Caveats & Notes VI

 Requires transaction malleability to be resolved (BIP 62) or OP_CHECKTIMELOCKVERIFY (BIP 65) (better!)



Sidechains Technology

- Merkle trees
- Merge mining
- Sophisticated scripting language
- Soft fork
- Compact SPV proofs
- Atomic swaps



Sidechains Technology

- Merkle trees^[1]
- Merge mining^[2]
- Sophisticated scripting language^[3]
- Soft fork^[4]
- Compact SPV proof^[5]
- Atomic swaps^[6]

[1] 2008: S Nakamoto Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System [2] 2009?

[3] 2014: BIP 65 https://github.com/bitcoin/bips/blob/master/bip-0065.mediawiki [4] 2012: BIP 16, BIP 30, BIP34

5 2012: The High Value Hash Highway https://bitcointalk.org/index.php?topic=98986.0



[6] 2013: T. Nolan, Re: Alt chains and atomic transfers, https://bitcointalk.org/index.php?topic=193281.msg2224949



Sidechains Paper Side Effect

- Funding protocol bootstrap
- Proving Flaws using Partial Knowledge
- Calculating Fees with Partial Knowledge
- Proving Non-existent TX Inputs
- Proving Double Spends
- Proving All Block Information Is Available

- Funding protocol bootstrap
- Proving Flaws using Partial Knowledge
- Calculating Fees with Partial Knowledge
- Proving Non-existent TX Inputs
- Proving Double Spends
- Proving All Block Information Is Available

- Funding protocol bootstrap
- Proving Flaws using Partial Knowledge
- Calculating Fees with Partial Knowledge
- Proving Non-existent TX Inputs
- Proving Double Spends
- Proving All Block Information Is Available

See https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/User:Gmaxwell/features#Proofs And http://rustyrussell.github.io/pettycoin/ Pettycoin Revisited parts 1-7.

• Is the miner collecting fair rewards?

- Is the miner collecting fair rewards?
 - Pettycoin uses a lottery, "random" transaction chosen and multiplied.

• Is the miner collecting fair rewards?

















• Is the miner collecting fair rewards?

H(H&Fee(Tx-0) ... H&Fee(Tx-3)) Fee(Tx-0) + ... + Fee(Tx-3)H(H&Fee(Tx-0) H&Fee(Tx-1)) $Fee(T/x_{-}0) + Fee(Tx-1)$ H(Tx-0) H(Tx-1)Fee(Tx-0) Fee(Tx-1)

Non-existent Inputs

 Block N contains TX1 which spend output from TX <made-up-hash>?

Non-existent Inputs

- Block N contains TX1 which spend output from TX <made-up-hash>?
 - Pettycoin miners attach backrefs which say where in chain you can find the input transactions:


Non-existent Inputs

- Block N contains TX1 which spend output from TX <made-up-hash>?
 - UTXO commitments.

Include every Unspent Transaction Output in the header.

Include every Unspent Transaction Output in the header.











- Include every Unspent Transaction Output in the header.
 - For each input, attach proof that it was in UTXO tree.
 - For each output, attach proof showing where it goes in (updated) UTXO tree.

Include every Unspent Transaction Output in the header.



Caveats & Notes VII

- A patricia trie is usually suggested for this structure.
- If it's keyed by Txid then output, it's fairly trivial to group output insertion into a single proof.

Proving Double Spends

Proving Double Spends

- Pettycoin relied on someone reporting (with proof) that a TX output was used before.
- UTXO commitments make this impossible anyway.

Fast Block Times

Fast Block Times

• 10 second blocks.

Fast Block Times

- 10 second blocks.
- 1% of blocks take over 46 seconds.
- Accept "easy" block after 20 seconds passed, with a modified heuristic to determine which easy block wins.^[1]

[1] http://rustyrussell.github.io/pettycoin/2014/10/30/More-Regular-Block-Times.html

Caveats and Notes VIII

- Convergence difficult unless propagation time
 > block time.
 - GHOST helps here^[1]
 - 10 seconds is probably close to lower bound.
- Bitcoin's testnet does this horribly using timestamps: don't copy!



Need to be more bitcoin-like.
 => Just use the bitcoin reference code.

(But there may be many sidechains to copy)

- Need to be more bitcoin-like.
 => Just use the bitcoin reference code.
 (But there may be many sidechains to copy)
- We now have a name for what we built.
 - Pettychain?

- Need to be more bitcoin-like.
 - => Just use the bitcoin reference code.

(But there may be many sidechains to copy)

- We now have a name for what we built.
 - Pettychain?
- Fastchain should be a separate sidechain experiment.

Thanks

- My family.
- Robert Collins
- Bitcoin wizards, esp. Gregory Maxwell.
- IBM

Thanks

- My family.
- Robert Collins
- Bitcoin wizards, esp. Gregory Maxwell.
- IBM



Thanks

- My family.
- Robert Collins
- Bitcoin wizards, esp. Gregory Maxwell.
- IBM



Questions?